



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/574,157	05/18/2000	Cary Lee Bates	ROC920000066	6988	
7590 06/03/2004			EXAMINER		
Thomason Moser & Patterson LLP			HUYN	HUYNH, BA	
Suite 1500					
3040 Post Oak Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77056-6582			2173	14	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

de

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/574,157	BATES ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ba Huynh	2173		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ap 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Ex 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 5-11 and 21-29 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5-11 and 21-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	BAHWYNH		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 903)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ite		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/574,157

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5-11, 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 6,597,314 (Beezer et al).

- As for claims 5, 11, 21, 26: Beezer et al teach a computer implemented method and corresponding system operable in a client-server configuration to permit a user to retrieve web documents to be displayed on a networked client display device (figure 1; 4:25-33) customized to the user on the basis of prior user interaction with the document (see the abstract), each document contains user interaction fields, comprising the steps/means for:

retrieving an electronic document associated with an electronic address (4:29-33; 4:67-5:4; 5:45-6:9)

evaluating a user profile of navigation history to determine if there is a user interaction entry relating to the document, the entry includes a user interactive field,

if the there is a user interaction entry, determining if the entry field exists on the document (6:46-7:9),

rendering the document on the display so that the entry field viewable on the display (7:10-24), thereby eliminating from having to reposition the page to bring the user interaction field into viewable area.

Application/Control Number: 09/574,157

Art Unit: 2173

Beezer et al fail to clearly teach that each electronic document is associated with an electronic address, however suggested that the system is operable in client-server configuration for retrieving web documents (4:27-33). Thus it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to implement Beezer disclosure in a client-server configuration for retrieving web documents as expressly suggested by Beezer, wherein it is inherently included that the of the Web documents are associated with electronic addresses.

- As for claims 6, 7, 22, 23, 27, 28, 29: The user interaction field is moved to a top portion of the view area (7:20-23). The user profile of navigation history includes a plurality of user interaction fields (7:2-9).
- As for claim 8: Each user interacted object is associated with a count (7:2-9, 42-49).
- As for claim 9: Frequency of selection and duration of viewing of an object are recorded (1:66 2:7; 7:27-52). Beezer et al fail to clearly teach the ranking a selected object based on duration of viewing as a second parameter after the frequency.

 However, Official notice is take that ranking a selected object based on the duration of viewing as a second parameter after the frequency is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known ranking a selected object based on the duration of viewing as a second parameter after the frequency to the Beezer et al. Motivation is for providing an alternative for ranking whenever the frequencies of selection of the first and second objects are equal.

Art Unit: 2173

ď.

- As for claim 10: Scrolling is inherently included in the teaching of recording cursor movement and screen position of the selected object (7:21-24).
- As for claim 24: The user interaction entry can be a table entry, a link, a data entered interaction entry, or a scrolling entry (see descriptions of figures 4-5).
- As for claim 25: The data structure includes the time spent displaying the electronic document element on the display during prior user interaction with the electronic document (7:42-49).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ba Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9794. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

BAHUYNA RIMARY EXAMINER